1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990) United States Attorney	OTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990) ted States Attorney	
2 3	BRIAN STRETCH (CSBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division		
4 5 6 7 8	DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 63405) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7359 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 denise.barton@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff	52)	
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13			
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR 07-218 JSW	
15	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER) EXCLUDING TIME	
16	v.	(Docket No. 31)	
17	ERNESTO OSEGUERA-OCHOA aka JOSE ANDINO-OCHOA,		
18	Defendant.		
19	Defendant.		
20	On September 20, 2007, the parties in this case appeared before the Court and stipulated		
21	that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from September 20, 2007		
22	through October 25, 2007, 2007 for effective preparation of counsel. Th parties represented that		
23	the time was properly excluded, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, given the		
24	//		
25	//		
26	//		
27	//		
28	//		

Case 3:07-cr-00218-JSW Document 33 Filed 11/06/07 Page 2 of 2

1	requested appointment of advisory counsel and need for advisory counsel to review the pre-plea	
2	report. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).	
3		
4	SO STIPULATED:	
5	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS	
6	United States Attorney	
7	DATED: October 26, 2007 S DENISE MARIE BARTON	
8	Assistant United States Attorney	
9		
10	DATED: October 26, 2007 /s/ RONALD C. TYLER	
11	Attorney for ERNESTO OSEGUERA- OCHOA	
12	OCHOA	
13		
14	As the Court found on September 20, 2007, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds	
15	good cause, taking into account the public interest in prompt disposition of criminal cases that	
16	time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from September 20, 2007	
17	through October 25, 2007, 2007 for effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §3161	
18	(h)(8)(A)(iv). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny counsel reasonable time	
19	necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would	
20	result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(iv).	
21	SO ORDERED.	
22		
23	DATED: November 6, 2007	
24	Honorable Jeffrey S. White United States Vistrict Court Judge	
25	onget states pisaret court raage	
26		
27		
28		